



BRIEFING

Unauthorised migration in the UK

AUTHORS: Mihnea Cuibus
Peter William Walsh
PUBLISHED: 20/02/2025



This briefing examines unauthorised migration – also called ‘illegal immigration’ or ‘irregular migration’ – in the UK, including the difficulties in defining and measuring it, and evidence on its nature and scale.

Key Points

There are four main ways for a person to become an unauthorised migrant in the UK: enter regularly on a visa and overstay; enter without authorisation; remain after asylum application avenues are exhausted; or be born in the UK to irregular migrant parents.

People who migrate without authorisation are typically aware of the risks and benefits of doing so but face limited information and possible bias.

The number of people *detected* arriving in the UK without permission increased in recent years, mostly after crossing the English Channel in small boats.

Refused asylum seekers contribute to the UK’s unauthorised migrant population, though the precise number who remain in the country is uncertain.

The government does not know with any accuracy how many visa overstayers there are in the UK.

Returns of people without a legal right to be in the UK have partly recovered in recent years, after a period of decline.

Unauthorised migrants are not permitted to access jobs, housing, benefits, and most free public services in the UK.

It is not known how many unauthorised migrants have been regularised in the UK

Understanding the policy

Different terms are used to describe the movement of people across borders without permission, including illegal, irregular, or unauthorised migration. They mean broadly the same thing. In the UK, it is a criminal offence to knowingly enter or remain in the country without authorisation ([Immigration Act 1971, Section 24](#)), although criminal prosecutions are rare. Official statistics published by the Home Office and Office for National Statistics typically use the term ‘irregular migration’. However, this briefing uses the term ‘unauthorised’ because [research](#) with members of the public has suggested that public audiences find it to be clearer and more impartial than either ‘irregular’ or ‘illegal’.

The term *undocumented migrant* is commonly used as an alternative to *illegal immigrant*, *unauthorised migrant* or *irregular migrant*. However, ‘undocumented’ can be ambiguous, referring either to migrants whose movement has not been documented (i.e., recorded), or other times to people who have the right to live in the UK but lack the documents to demonstrate it (e.g. some members of the Windrush generation).

A definition in common usage is a person who is in the UK without a valid residence permit. This definition is sometimes broadened to include migrants who have a valid residence permit, but who breach the conditions of their visa, such as by working without permission – a condition known as “[semi-compliance](#)” with immigration control. Such migrants are not typically included in estimates of the UK’s unauthorised migrant population, unless those violations resulted in the withdrawal of legal residence.

Unauthorised migrants are not permitted to work in the UK, claim benefits, or access some public services, such as university education, social housing, and secondary healthcare (post-GP hospital or specialist care). Nor can they rent private accommodation, open bank accounts, or acquire driving licences. If an unauthorised migrant is found by the authorities, they risk being detained and removed.

Regularisation and amnesties

People who have lived in the UK for a continuous period of 20 years, including periods of unlawful residence, can apply to remain in the UK and hence regularise their status (before July 2012 this period was 14 years). Some unauthorised migrants can also apply for residence rights on the basis of their family or private life in the UK. For more information, see the Migration Observatory’s briefing, [Migrants on ten-year routes to settlement in the UK](#). Some children without legal residence rights can apply directly for UK citizenship, including if they are born in the UK and lived here for the first ten years of their life.

The UK has never introduced an amnesty for all unauthorised migrants, though at least since 1974, the government has undertaken [several programmes](#) that granted legal status to various groups of people, including asylum seekers awaiting a decision on their claim (see our briefing on [Settlement in the UK](#)).

The ‘hostile environment’

For many years, the UK government has aimed to reduce unauthorised migration. In the early 2010s, a set of policies were introduced with this aim, known collectively as the ‘hostile environment’ (now officially called the ‘compliant environment’). They were introduced mainly through the Immigration Acts 2014 and 2016. The policies required banks, landlords, employers and public service providers to refuse to provide services if the applicant cannot demonstrate their legal immigration status. The stated purpose of this approach was to encourage unauthorised migrants to leave the UK of their own accord, and to deter people from becoming unauthorised migrants in the first place.

Understanding the evidence

The number and the characteristics of unauthorised migrants in the UK are largely unknown. Unauthorised entry, visa overstaying, illegal working, and violations of other visa restrictions, are all difficult for authorities to detect. Therefore, information on the UK’s unauthorised migrant population is indirect and piecemeal, making estimates of its size and composition highly uncertain. The Home Office has [stated](#) that “It is not possible to know the exact number of people currently resident in the UK without permission, nor the total number of people who enter the UK irregularly, and so we do not seek to make any official estimates of the illegal population.” Further complicating the estimation of the unauthorised migrant population is that many people cycle back and forth between regular and irregular immigration status.

Home Office statistics on irregular migration to the UK

Despite these challenges, in 2022, the Home Office began publishing [statistics on irregular migration](#) as part of its regular quarterly statistical releases. These statistics focus on the number of *detected* unauthorised arrivals to the UK, including via small boat. The data count the number of detections rather than the number of unique individuals, so that if a person is detected entering the UK without authorisation more than once in a given period, they are counted more than once in the statistics. The Home Office cautions that its detection statistics should not be used to infer actual patterns of overall unauthorised migration, because they are likely to be affected by recording practices and operational activity at the border and overseas, such as a changing number of patrols or vehicle checks.

The residual method

Most estimates of the UK's unauthorised migrant population use the *residual method*. This is viewed as the best method and has been [used](#) to estimate the unauthorised migrant populations [of several](#) countries. The two most recent estimates of the UK's unauthorised migrant population, by the [Pew Research Center](#) and by researchers working for the [Greater London Authority](#), both used the residual method.

The residual method begins with the idea that the total migrant population of a country – defined either as foreign-born or foreign citizens – is made up of regular and irregular migrants. Therefore, subtracting the number of regular migrants from the total migrant population gives the number of unauthorised migrants. In the UK, the Census or Annual Population Survey (APS) are used to estimate the total migrant population, defined as those born abroad. This assumes that the population of unauthorised migrants will be captured by the household surveys that are used to estimate the total migrant population. However, this is unlikely, especially given that non-response is particularly high in inner city areas, where unauthorised migrants are more likely to live.

A second limitation of the residual method is that it requires an accurate estimation of the *regular* migrant population. However, in the UK the population of *legal* migrants is not recorded and must be estimated using a range of assumptions that substantially affect the final estimate. For example, the residual method used by the Greater London Authority study estimates the regular migrant population by adding migrants with permanent residence status to those with temporary residence status, minus estimates of the emigration and deaths of these groups, thus requiring assumptions to be made about these migrants' emigration and mortality rates.

Deportations, voluntary departures, exit checks, and unauthorised entries

Unrelated to the residual method are data on what the Home Office calls returns: the enforced or voluntary departure of people whom it is considered to have no legal right to be in the UK. As such, returns data provide partial and indirect evidence of the scale and composition of the unauthorised migrant population.

Returns are of two broad types. *Enforced returns* refer primarily to people who left the UK, usually from detention, as a result of removal directions set by the Home Office. By contrast, *voluntary returns* are subject to a lower level of Home Office enforcement activity, or none at all. These include returns where the Home Office has facilitated or monitored the departure, including organising and paying for flights, and departures made without informing the authorities, which the Home Office has established after the fact (for more details, see our briefing on [Deportation and Voluntary Departure from the UK](#)). Importantly, data for the most recent year of voluntary returns are likely to be undercounts, because in some cases it can take time to identify people who have left the UK without informing the authorities. An unknown proportion of returns are due to migrants' criminal behaviour. Such people could be argued to not be unauthorised because their stay was lawful until the deportation order was issued.

After 2015, the Home Office conducted checks of people leaving the UK and recorded whether they left before the expiry of their visa. These operational data looked only at the people who were due to leave and did not include those who had extended their visas, those whose expired visa was issued before the exit checks system was introduced, or the majority of visitors to the UK who do not need a visa, who account for a large share of visitors to the UK.

These data were classified as “Experimental Statistics”, which have a lower level of quality assurance than Official Statistics. The Home Office [noted](#) that these estimates “will not provide a full picture of the potentially resident illegal population”. In part, this is because many departures are not recorded, for a variety of reasons. Therefore, these data indicated *minimum* levels of compliance amongst those whose visas have expired and did not provide direct evidence of overstaying.

However, the Home Office discontinued these statistics after 2020 and [said](#) it would review the combined impact of the pandemic and Brexit on the accuracy of its figures in the future. As of January 2025, no updates had been provided on this process, and no recent statistics on exit checks were publicly available.


There are four ways for a person to join the unauthorised resident population

There are four main ways in which a person can enter the unauthorised resident population in the UK:

1. Entering the UK on a visa and overstay (including in cases where residence permission is cancelled due to a criminal conviction).
2. Entering the UK without authorisation or through deception, such as using forged documents.
3. Not leaving the country after an asylum application has been rejected and all rights of appeal exhausted.
4. Being born in the UK to parents who are unauthorised migrants, because the UK does not have birthright citizenship.

Figure 1

What are the pathways into the unauthorised resident population in the UK?



Source: Migration Observatory analysis.



Research [indicates](#) that many unauthorised migrants first arrived in the UK legally, usually by air, rather than without authorisation. Some people who claim asylum will initially have entered the UK without authorisation or lost legal status after overstaying a visa. People with pending asylum claims have some legal protections (most notably, against being removed to their country of origin), and thus tend to be described as “asylum seekers” and not “unauthorised migrants”.

People who migrate without authorisation are aware of the risks and benefits of doing so but face limited information and possible bias

Research has tackled several key questions about unauthorised migrants’ decision-making.

What makes people migrate without authorisation? The reason is often seen as a combination of push factors which make them leave their home country – such as political instability, violence, or economic turmoil – and pull factors which attract them to destination societies, like respect for human rights or better economic opportunities. Networks of existing migrants in other countries also play a big role in [shaping migration aspirations](#) and [spreading information](#) about routes and destinations.

Research has found that for some groups of migrants, such as asylum seekers, push factors often [play a bigger role](#) in individuals’ decision to migrate without authorisation, especially compared to the pull of specific destination countries. In some cases involving asylum seekers, the choice of destination is left to [smuggling agents](#) and migrants are [particularly reliant](#) on their decisions.

Are people aware of the risks and benefits of unauthorised migration? To some extent, yes. Prospective migrants are generally aware of both the [risks](#) involved in an unauthorised journey – such as death, violence, or abuse – and the [potential economic benefits](#) of reaching their destination. However, they face great uncertainty and limited information – many [underestimate](#) risks and overestimate their chances of obtaining legal status. In addition, few have detailed knowledge of [recent developments](#) in destination countries. Studies looking at asylum seekers also found they were relatively uninformed about [welfare entitlements](#) or [policy changes](#) at destination.

Available estimates of the UK’s unauthorised migrant population should be treated with caution


It is difficult to estimate the number of unauthorised migrants in a country. Attempts at estimation have been described as ‘[counting the uncountable](#)’ since they confront the challenge of counting individuals who do not wish to be found. Nevertheless, there are several estimates of the population of migrants living in the UK without a legal residence permit. These do not include those with a legal residence permit, but who breach working or other restrictions.

The most recent estimates are for 2017 and were separately produced by the [Pew Research Center](#) and the [Greater London Authority](#), both using the residual method (see Understanding the Evidence). The first shows an estimated range of 800,000 to 1.2 million unauthorised migrants in the UK, while the GLA’s central estimate stood at 674,000 – going up to 809,000 when including UK-born children of unauthorised migrants.

Figure 2

Estimates of the UK's unauthorised migrant population, by year and source

Low/High and Central estimates (where available)



Sources: See References list, below.

Notes: Estimates are not comparable because they are based on different methodologies and include different groups in their estimates. The 2013 estimate by Prederi for the Department of Health is for England only. The estimate by Vogel and Kovacheva for the Clandestino project uses the lower and upper estimates from Gordon et al. (2009).



In part, estimates vary because they include different groups. Some include asylum seekers or children born in the UK to unauthorised migrant parents, while others do not. However, all figures are highly uncertain and have large margins of error. For example, the Pew estimate of the lawfully resident migrant population was derived from figures provided by the Home Office to Eurostat which exclude migrants with indefinite leave to remain (ILR). This inflates the estimate by the number of migrants with ILR, a number that the government does not record, but which is likely to be in the hundreds of thousands. All estimates provided above should thus be treated with caution. For more details, see the Migration Observatory's commentary [Recent estimates of the irregular migrant population in the UK](#).

According to one [study](#) that analysed and compiled existing estimates of the unauthorised population in multiple countries, the UK had the second highest number of unauthorised migrants in Europe, after Germany. Two previous analyses conducted across the EU in [2008](#) and [2017](#) also showed the UK had more unauthorised migrants than most other European countries (Figure 3). However, the available data and methodologies used vary substantially between countries, meaning that international comparisons are highly uncertain, and any clear conclusions should be treated with caution.


Figure 3

Estimates of the unauthorised migrant population in European countries

Selected countries, by source

Select estimates:

MlrreM estimates for latest year



Source: MlrreM Public Database (Kierans et al., 2024), Pew Research Center (Connor & Passel, 2019), The Clandestino Project (Vogel & Kovacheva, 2009).

Notes: The estimates collated by the MlrreM project come from different sources, use different methodologies, and cover different years between 2017 and 2023. They are hence not directly comparable. The Pew figures presented here include asylum applicants awaiting a decision on their claim, but Pew also provides in their report estimates that exclude asylum applicants.



The next three sections of this briefing examine data on unauthorised migration ‘inflows’ by looking at three groups that add to the unauthorised migrant population: unauthorised entrants, refused asylum seekers, and visa overstayers.

More people were detected arriving in the UK without authorisation in recent years, mostly after crossing the English Channel in small boats


The number of people detected arriving in the UK without authorisation has increased sharply in recent years, driven by the rise of the small boats route across the English Channel. Between 2020 and the end of September 2024, around 175,000 unauthorised arrivals were recorded by the authorities. Of these, 78% arrived in the UK after crossing the Channel in a small boat.

While reports of people attempting to enter the UK by crossing the Channel go back [over fifty years](#), significant numbers did not begin to be detected until [late 2018](#). From 2020, numbers increased rapidly. [Several reports](#) suggest that the initial emergence of the small boats route was a consequence of increased border enforcement that made it significantly harder for people to arrive in the UK through other irregular means, including as stowaways on trains and lorries. British and French authorities invested heavily in border security after 2014 to prevent such journeys. Growing experience and the professionalisation of smuggling operations are likely to have contributed to a significant expansion of the route.

Figure 4

The number of irregular arrivals in the UK increased sharply after 2020, driven by small boats

Number of people detected while attempting to enter the UK without authorisation, by year, 2018 to year ending 30 September 2024



Source: Migration Observatory analysis of irregular migration to the UK dataset, year ending September 2024. Table 10.101



Most people who arrive in the UK by small boat subsequently apply for asylum – [around 94%](#) of those who arrived between 2018 and the end of September 2024. Of those who received an initial decision, around 70% were granted protection, similar to the grant rate for all asylum applications.

For more details about small boat migration to the UK, see the Migration Observatory's briefing, [People crossing the English Channel in small boats](#).

Refused asylum seekers contribute to the UK's unauthorised migrant population, though the precise number who remain in the country is uncertain


Asylum seekers who have been refused protection but remain in the UK add to the unauthorised migrant population. Official data show that 166,000 people applied for asylum between 2010 and 2023 but were refused protection, taking into account appeals. Of these, around 82,000 were recorded as having left the UK via enforced or voluntary return by 30 June 2024. This suggests that roughly half of refused asylum seekers during the period, around 85,000 individuals, had not been recorded as having departed the country.

However, some departures will not have been recorded for reasons other than overstaying, such as because the asylum applicant has been regularised or has no entry record against which their departure can be matched. Therefore, these counts represent the *upper bound* of the number of refused asylum seekers who have become unauthorised migrants in the UK.

Figure 5

Refused asylum seekers are often not recorded as having left the UK

Number of refused asylum seekers not recorded as having left the UK, as of 30 June 2024, by year of application



Source: Migration Observatory analysis of Home Office Immigration Statistics, year ending June 2024, Table A5, 2024.

Notes: Figures are calculated by subtracting the sum of "E: Forced returns" and "Voluntary return of the 'Failed asylum seeker' (Refused)" from the sum of "Asylum seekers who have been granted asylum or other form of protection, taking into account the yes, then specific to the Refugee Tribunal (through not higher courts)".



The government does not know with any degree of accuracy how many visa overstayers there are in the UK

People who overstay their visas are a key source of the UK's unauthorised migrant population.

However, there is a lack of data on how many visa overstayers remain in the UK, particularly in recent years. The Home Office [discontinued](#) its statistics related to exit checks after 2020 to review the impact of Brexit and the pandemic on the accuracy of these figures. At the time of writing in January 2025, no further updates had been provided on their replacement.


In the four years to March 2020 – the last for which data were available – an average of around 63,000 non-EU visa nationals a year were not recorded as having left the country before their visa expired (Figure 6). This marks an overall recorded compliance rate of around 96%.

Yet many departures from the UK either go unrecorded or cannot be matched against people's initial arrival in the system. These figures hence represent the *minimum* level of compliance with visa duration and are not an indicator of the rate of overstaying (see Evidence Gaps and Limitations). The UK's *population* of visa overstayers is also not known.

Figure 6

There are no publicly available data on visa overstaying in the UK in recent years

Number of people not recorded as having left the UK before the expiry of their visa, by year



Source: Migration Observatory analysis of Home Office statistics relating to exit checks, 2014/15 onwards.

Notes: Data relates to years ending 30 March and includes late departures. Figures are considered by the Home Office to be accurate estimates for the categories they cover and hence represent the minimum level of compliance with regulation for those categories. Excludes non-visa nationals, those fleeing of 52 countries where the individual requires visa to visit the UK and dual citizens.

**Returns of people without a legal right to be in the UK have partly recovered in recent years, after a period of decline**

In the year ending 30 September 2024, around 31,500 people were returned from the UK, either forcibly or voluntarily. This was 19% higher than in 2013, and the highest level since 2017. The number of returns fell sharply during the 2010s and has only partly recovered (Figure 7).


The Home Office [prefers voluntary returns](#), which are much cheaper and are considered more humane. [An estimate from 2013](#) put the average cost of an enforced return at £15,000. In contrast, an average voluntary return cost [around £7,000](#) in 2015.

It is unclear exactly why returns declined so much in the 2010s. Potential explanations include [higher costs](#) and [fewer resources](#) dedicated to enforcement, [legal challenges](#) to removal, [administrative issues](#) in the Home Office, and difficulties in securing cooperation from countries of origin. The new Labour government has [pledged](#) to significantly increase returns in the coming years (we are tracking whether this and other migration pledges are being met in [another commentary](#)).

For more details, see the Migration Observatory's briefing, [Deportation and Voluntary Departure from the UK](#).

Figure 7

Returns of people without a right to be in the UK have partly recovered in recent years, after sharp declines
Number of people returned from the UK, by year and type (Enforced and Voluntary)



Source: Migration Observatory analysis of Home Office Immigration Statistics, years ending September 2024. Total Ret_C1
Notes: The 2023 and 2024 source of voluntary returns is now a challenge, as key links are broken.



Unauthorised migrants are not permitted to access jobs, housing, benefits, and most free public services in the UK

Unauthorised migrants face strict restrictions on their rights in the UK, particularly after the introduction of 'hostile environment' policies in the early 2010s. This means that people without legal status cannot get a job, rent a house, open a bank account, or have a driving licence in the UK. In addition, unauthorised migrants are not permitted to access social security benefits like Universal Credit or key public services like social housing. Free access to specialist or hospital treatment is also limited to those with legal residence in the UK (see Understanding the Policy).

There is some [evidence](#) from the United States that employment restrictions made employers less likely to hire unauthorised workers, and made those who had left the country [less willing](#) to return. However, interviews with migrants who remain in the UK despite the restrictions also suggest that migrants are often able to [adapt their strategies](#) to [avoid detection](#). This may help to explain why a majority of migrants sanctioned under hostile environment rules in 2015 to 2018 were still in the UK a few years later, according to a [Home Office evaluation](#). A Home Office [review](#) of the evidence from the UK and other countries in 2023 concluded that it was not clear to what extent compliant environment measures had had the desired effect of deterring unauthorised migrants or encouraging them to leave the UK. This does not mean there is no impact, as policy impacts in this field can be difficult to measure.

Research has also suggested that hostile environment restrictions can have unintended consequences, such as leaving unauthorised migrants more vulnerable to [exploitation from employers](#), or encouraging [discrimination](#) against legal migrants in the [rental market](#).

It is unclear how many unauthorised migrants have been regularised in the UK

The Home Office does not publish statistics on how many unauthorised migrants it has given legal status to.

One path to regularisation is having continuously lived in the UK for a very long period, despite not having legal permission to do so – currently 20 years (see [Understanding the Policy](#)). It is unclear how many people become regularised through these routes. In response to a Freedom of Information request, the Home Office stated that it was not able to extract statistics from its management systems.

People can also apply for the right to remain in the UK based on a human rights claim under the ECHR, which protects the right to private and family life. UK law directs judges to [place 'little weight'](#) on a private life or relationship formed while someone was in the UK unlawfully, though some unauthorised migrants may be granted status under this route. The Home Office does not publish data on the number of human rights related regularisations. Between 2015 and 2023, an [average](#) of around 19,000 people with no previous recorded status entered the “family life” route, though many of them will have switched from another legal status (which was not recorded due to administrative issues).

There are other ways to gain legal status, such as being granted asylum or humanitarian protection. For more information, see our briefing on [Asylum and Refugee Resettlement in the UK](#).

Research is unclear on whether giving unauthorised migrants legal status increases irregular immigration in the future. Most [studies](#) are based on the [US](#), where the evidence shows [no impact](#) of amnesties on long-term patterns of undocumented migration. Another project, examining the effect of regularisation programmes in the EU, similarly [did not find](#) an impact on future irregular arrivals. As a result, unauthorised populations continued to develop after the amnesties took place.

Evidence Gaps and Limitations

There are many data gaps in unauthorised migration in the UK.

There are limited data to estimate the unauthorised migrant population of the UK. This is in part why ONS and the Home Office published a [joint statement in 2019](#) suggesting they did not plan to produce a new estimate using the residual method.

In other areas, data in theory exist but are not published. For example, there have been no recent statistics on visa overstayers, or grants of legal status to previously unauthorised migrants.

Returns data are limited to whether the returnee previously made an asylum claim or is a foreign national offender. There is no information regarding the immigration history of returnees, and so no data on how many of those returned entered without authorisation, had their application for asylum refused, overstayed their visa, or who otherwise breached the conditions of their leave to remain in the UK.

Acknowledgements

*With thanks to **Jaqueline Broadhead**, **John Salt** and **CJ McKinney** for invaluable feedback on previous versions of this briefing.*



The Migration Observatory

Based at the Centre on Migration, Policy and Society (COMPAS) at the University of Oxford, the Migration Observatory provides independent, authoritative, evidence-based analysis of data on migration and migrants in the UK, to inform media, public and policy debates, and to generate high quality research on international migration and public policy issues. The Observatory's analysis involves experts from a wide range of disciplines and departments at the University of Oxford.



COMPAS

The Migration Observatory is based at the Centre on Migration, Policy and Society (COMPAS) at the University of Oxford. The mission of COMPAS is to conduct high quality research in order to develop theory and knowledge, inform policy-making and public debate, and engage users of research within the field of migration.

www.compas.ox.ac.uk

About the authors

Mihnea V. Cuibus
Researcher,
The Migration Observatory
mihnea.cuibus@nuffield.ox.ac.uk

Peter William Walsh
Senior Researcher,
The Migration Observatory
peter.walsh@compas.ox.ac.uk

Press contact

Rob McNeil
Head of Media and Communications
robert.mcneil@compas.ox.ac.uk
+ 44 (0)1865 274568
+ 44 (0)7500 970081

Recommended citation

Cuibus, M. V., & Walsh, P. W. (2025) *Unauthorised migration in the UK*. Migration Observatory briefing, COMPAS, University of Oxford